STITES & HARBISON PLLC

ATTORNEYS



May 15, 2003

Thomas M. Dorman
Executive Director
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
211 Sower Boulevard
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Mark R. Overstreet [502] 209-1219 moverstreet@stites.com

RE: Response of Kentucky Power Company d/b/a American Electric Power to Commission's Memorandum Concerning Ice Storm Restoration Assessment

Dear Mr. Dorman:

Enclosed please find and accept for filing the original and nine copies of Kentucky Power Company d/b/a American Electric Power's response to the Kentucky Public Service Commission's March 12, 2003 memorandum concerning ice storm restoration.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Mark R. Overstreet

KE057:00KE4:9274:FRANKFORT

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

IN THE MATTER OF:

FEBRUARY 2003 ICE STORM) KY PSC MEMO RESTORATION ASSESSMENT) DATED MARCH 12, 2003

RESPONSES OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY D/B/A AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated Mary 12, 2003
Item No. 1A
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss the Company's initial evaluation and assessment of damage process.

RESPONSE

American Electric Power utilizes a "Power On Outage Management System" to identify outages by Region, District and Area, and the number of customers affected. Through this system, AEP first assesses the damage by utilizing Servicers and Engineering Technicians as Scouts.

The scouts are dispatched to the outage areas to make initial assessment and report back as to the extent of damage by the use of AEP's Emergency Repair Order (ERO) System. This system tracks the amount of man-hours and material needed per each trouble order.

February 2003 KPSC Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 1B
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss any lessons learned in the Company's initial evaluation and assessment process, which will hopefully improve the response in future, events.

RESPONSE

The type and severity of storm determine how quickly assessment can occur. After this storm, AEP critiqued the storm process efforts to seek improvement opportunities for future storm restorations.

Prior to the storm, AEP was studying the process of utilizing GPS units with AEP's circuit mapping system to assist technicians in finding AEP facilities. During the storm, this same group was able to utilize the GPS units quite well; therefore, we have obtained GPS units for all technical staff that will perform assessments during storm restorations.

Also, we found that we should have used our radio storm channels sooner to improve on early assessment. This gives us more capability to have a large amount of radio traffic going on at the same time.

Another improvement opportunity identified and implemented during the storm was to assign a field general to each circuit or damaged area the first thing during a storm even before the first outside crews arrive. The field general is a person that becomes very familiar with the extent of damages and is in charge of all repairs to a particular circuit.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 2A
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss the Company's prioritization or repairs process.

RESPONSE

The Company's prioritization of repair order is as follows:

First and foremost, perform all repairs safely:

Second, correct all unsafe conditions:

Third, repair outages affecting critical customers (e.g. hospital, emergency services, etc):

Fourth, repair primary three-phase stations/circuits, in order of the number of customers affected; and

Fifth, repair single-phase lines and branch circuits, in order of the number of customers affected.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 2B
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss any lessons learned in the Company's prioritization process, which will hopefully improve the response in future, events.

RESPONSE

The Company reviewed its prioritization process after the February 2003 storm as it does after each storm. We did not identify any needed changes to the Company's prioritization process at this time.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 3
Page 1 of 8

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Provide a timeline in increments no greater than 24 hours, which discuss the number of employees and heavy equipment, which participated in the storm restoration. Employees should be categorized by classifications and employer.

RESPONSE

Please see the attached pages for the employees who participated in the ice storm restoration, categorized by classification and employer.

Item No. 3 Page <u>2</u> of <u>8</u>

TOTAL AEP EMPLOYEES & EQUIPMENT USED 7 a.m. - 11 p.m. (16 hour shifts) ICE STORM 2-16-2003 THRU 2-27-2003 CANNONSBURG, KENTUCKY

	AEP Employees												
Managar Distribution C		2/16/03	2/17/03	2/18/03	2/19/03	2/20/03	2/21/03	2/22/03	2/23/03	2/24/03	2/25/03	2/26/03	2/27/0
Manager Distribution System	i i	1	2	2	2	2	2		220/03	2	20100	2/40/U3	2/2//03
Work Management Coordinator	i	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	_	2
Distribution Line Coordinator	•	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	, q	3	,	1	1
Region Engineer	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	ى 2	J	3
Associate	15	3	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	ა 15	ა 15	3	2
Meter Reader	b	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	15	15	8	3
Field Revenue Specialist	Ť	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	9	0	0	ь	6
Meter Electrician	*	0	3	3	3	3	3	ა ვ	3 2	3	3	3	3
Supervisor Distribution System	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	3	3 4	3	3	3	3
Supervisor Customer Design	1	1	i	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	3	2	1
Distribution Line Specialist	i,	2	2	,	2	9	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Engineering Technician	₹±,	5	17	33	33	33	33	200	2	2	2	2	2
Servicers	1 ·	8	8	16	16	33 16	33 16	33	33	33	33	17	5
Line Crew Supervisor	20	5	10	18	18	18	16 18	16	16	16	12	8	8
Line Mechanics	Ÿ.	22	50	91	91	16 91	าช 91	18	18	18	18	10	5
2-4 Man Transmission Crew	8	8	8	Ŕ	Θ1 Ω	ات 0	9 I	91	91	91	91	50	22
Distribution Line Reps	•	1	3	3	2	Ö	σ	8	8	8	8	0	0
Right of Way Agent	1	1	1	1	1	3 1	3	3	3	3	3	2	1
DDC Support-Roanoke		3	ģ	à	ا ن	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1
Ashland Stores Personnel	**	3	5	ა 5	3 E	<u>ა</u>	:3 -	3	3	3	3	1	1
Dispatcher-Roanoke Center	1	2	4	4	5 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
TOTAL SERVICE AEP EMPLOYEES	235	2 73	152	4 225	4 225	4 994	4	4	4	4	4	3	2
		* 1	1.37	660	775	يتفاحمو همي	226	عود	225	550	220	131	7.7
Customer Solutions Center Associates	282	108	224	246	220	000	000						
Customer Solutions Center Supervisors	7 O E	3	224 5		228	229	282	117	83				
Total # Employees who took KY Calls	296	3 111	5 229	6	8	7	4	2	2				
	e au	111	229	252	236	236	286	119	85				
RAND TOTAL OF AEP EMPLOYEES	531	.0.	av.										
TOTAL OF AEP EMPLUTEES	531	184	381	473	4h i	46(1	511	₹44	310	225	-50	130	77

TOTAL EQUIPMENT

Heavy Equipment	8
Pick Up Truck	63
Bucket Truck	29
Line Truck	27
Squirt Boom	15
TOTAL EQUIPMENT	142

Item No. 3 Page <u>3</u> of <u>8</u>

TOTAL OVERHEAD CONTRACTORS & EQUIPMENT USED

ICE STORM 2-16-2003 THRU 2-27-2003 CANNONSBURG, KENTUCKY

	TOTAL EMPLOYEES ALL CONTRACTORS	2/16/03	2/17/03	2/18/03	2/19/03	2/20/03	2/21/03	2/22/03	2/23/03	2/24/03	2/25/03	2/26/03	2/27/03
GENERAL FOREMAN FOREMAN LINEMAN EQUIPMENT OPERATOR GROUNDMAN/TRUCK DRIVER	9 21 85 15 14	0 4 7 3 2	0 11 17 8 9	1 11 17 8 9	2 14 25 9 10	2 14 25 9 10	2 14 25 11	2 14 26 11	2 14 38 15 12	9 21 85 15	9 21 85 14 14	9 10 65 7	3 4 2 2
TOTAL CONTRACT EMPLOYEES	144	16	45	46	60	60	62	64	81	144	143	98	11

TOTAL EQUIPMENT ALL CONTRACTORS

DOZER	1
ROAD TRACTOR	1
TRAILER	5
DUMP TRUCK	1
PICKUPS	29
LINE TRUCKS	32
BUCKET TRUCKS	41
WIRE PULLER	1

ASPLUNDH TREE CREW EMPLOYEES Cannonsburg, including South Shore Area This includes Distribution and Transmission								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Page
Totals includes General Formen, Foremen,	2/16/03	2/17/03	2/18/03	2/19/03	2/20/03	2/21/03	2/22/03	2/23/03	2/24/03	2/25/03	2/26/03	2/27/03	2/28/03
Trimmers and Groundman	24	33	42	36	63	63	63	75	63	36	9	15	9

Item No. 3 Page <u>5</u> of <u>8</u>

DAVIS	н	FI	11	OT

ICE STORM 2-16-2003 THRU 2-27-2003 CANNONSBURG, KENTUCKY

	TOTAL FOR												
GENERAL FOREMAN FOREMAN	SIORM 1 18	2/16/03 4	2/17/03 11	2/18/03 1 11	2/19/03 1 11	2/20/03 1	2/21/03 1	2/22/03 1	2/23/03 1 11	2/24/03 1 18	2/25/03 1	2/26/03 1	2/27/03
LINEMAN	30	7	17	17	17	17	17	18	30	30	18 30	10	3
EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	13	3	8	8	8	8	9	9	13	13	13	6	2
GROUNDMAN/TRUCK DRIVER TOTAL ALL EMPLOYEES		3	10	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	5	2
CONCAC CIVELOTEES	73	17	46	47	47	47	48	50	66	73	73	29	11

EQUIPMENT
DOZER
ROAD TRACTOR
TRAILER
DUMP TRUCK
PICKUP (4x4)
LINE TRUCKS
BUCKET TRUCKS
WIRE PULLER

u.	011	,	200	"	
	ite	em	No.	3	

PIKE ELECTRIC	ICE STORM	2-16-2	003 THRU 2	2-27-2003									
	CANNONSBU	IRG, KENT	UCKY										
	TOTAL FOR STORM	2/16/03	2/17/03	2/18/03	2/19/03	2/20/03	2/21/03	2/22/03	2/23/03	2/24/03	2/25/03	2/26/03	2/27/0:
GENERAL FOREMAN FOREMAN	7									7	7	7	
LINEMAN GROUNDMAN/TRUCK DRIVER EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	47 2									4 7 2	47 2	47 2	
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	56												
EQUIPMENT DOZER ROAD TRACTOR TRAILER													

DUMP TRUCK PICKUP LINE TRUCKS

WIRE PULLER

BUCKET TRUCKS

9

15

Item No. 3 Page <u>7</u> of <u>8</u>

RED SIMPSON INC.

ICE STORM 2-16-2003 THRU 2-27-2003 CANNONSBURG, KENTUCKY

	TOTAL FOR												
	STORM	2/16/03	2/17/03	2/18/03	2/19/03	2/20/03	2/21/03	2/22/03	2/23/03	2/24/03	2/25/03	2/26/03	2/27/03
GENERAL FOREMAN	1				1	1	1	1	1				
FOREMAN	3				3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1 3	
LINEMAN GROUNDMAN/TRUCK DRIVER	8				8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
EQUIPMENT OPERATOR	1				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	14				14	14	1 14	1 14	14	1 14	1 14	1 14	

EQUIPMENT

DOZER
ROAD TRACTOR
TRAILER 3
DUMP TRUCK
PICKUP 3
LINE TRUCKS 3
BUCKET TRUCKS 4
WIRE PULLER 1

2/25/03 2/26/03 2/27/03

	Iteņ	'n	lo. 3
Page	_8_	of	8

DILLARD	SMITH
---------	-------

BUCKET TRUCKS WIRE PULLER ICE STORM 2-16-2003 THRU 2-27-2002 CANNONSBURG, KENTUCKY

	,									
EMPLOYEES CENERAL FORMAN	TOTAL FOR STORM	2/16/03	2/17/03	2/18/03	2/19/03	2/20/03	2/21/03	2/22/03	2/23/03	2/24/03
GENERAL FOREMAN FOREMAN LINEMAN GROUNDMAN/TRUCK DRIVER EQUIPMENT OPERATOR										
EGOIT MICHT OF ENATOR	'						1	1	1	1
EQUIPMENT										
DOZER	1									
ROAD TRACTOR	1									
TRAILER DUMP TRUCK PICKUP	1									
LINE TRUCKS										

February 2003 KPSC Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 4
Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Provide a timeline showing the number of customers without service in increments no greater than 12-hour periods.

RESPONSE

Attached is a timeline, which shows the approximate number of customers without service twice a day.

_

AEP/Kentucky Customers Out of Service Time Line

		_		Approximate
		Day		Number of
Ln.		of the		Customers
<u>No.</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Week</u>	<u>Time</u>	Out of Service
1	2/16/03	Sun	АМ	excess of 17000
2	2/16/03	Oun	PM	17000
3	2/17/03	Mon	AM	15200
		WOTI	PM	16751
4	2/17/03	T		
5	2/18/03	Tue	AM	10173
6	2/18/03		PM	7800
7	2/19/03	Wed	AM	4250
8	2/19/03		PM	5525
9	2/20/03	Thur	AM	3920
10	2/20/03		PM	3925
11	2/21/03	Fri	ΑM	2715
12	2/21/03		PM	2405
13	2/22/03	Sat	AM	1870
14	2/22/03		PM	2180
15	2/23/03	Sun	AM	1554
16	2/23/03		PM	1625
17	2/24/03	Mon	AM	1060
18	2/24/03		PM	945
19	2/25/03	Tue	AM	180
20	2/25/03		PM	135
21	2/26/03	Wed	PM	40
22	2/27/03	Thur	AM	20
23	2/28/03	Fri	AM	0

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 5
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss the availability and effectiveness of contract crews and/or mutual aid.

RESPONSE

The availability of contract crews was adequate. AEP based the need for contract crews and/or mutual aid on damage assessments that were made during evaluations that occurred three times a day by the Service Restoration Team, at which times, decisions were made to add or relocate resources as needed. During the storm, AEP had internal resources from Indiana, Virginia, West Virginia, Ohio, Tennessee, and contract crews from Asplundh, Davis H. Elliott, Pike Electric, Red Simpson, Inc., and Dillard Smith. Please see the Company's response to Item No. 3 for additional details on staffing.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 6A
Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss the operational coordination between your utility and contractors, volunteers and governmental agencies.

RESPONSE

Operational coordination between the Company and the Contractors process:

During the initial hours of a storm our first responders, Line Servicers, are dispatched and report their findings in the field to our central Distribution Dispatch Center (DDC). When we determine that the damage is significant and more than the first responder can assess and repair, the DDC will call out our Duty Supervisors and Assessment Team. The Assessment Team will perform an initial assessment of damages on the larger outages and determine the material damaged, the number of customers interrupted, and the estimated time for repairs. This information is documented in an Emergency Repair Order (ERO). As we compile all of the EROs, we can then determine the amount of time necessary to make repairs and the resources needed to perform this work. Our Service Restoration Team will evaluate this information during our initial Storm Conference Call and make a determination of any internal line resources that need to be shifted to affected area. This would include Company, Contract Line and Right of Way Maintenance crews.

The Storm Restoration Team will also forecast the duration of the storm recovery and determine if crews from outside of our Region will be needed. If it is determined that we need to bring in outside resources, the Mutual Assistance Coordinator is contacted and provided with the details of the number of line employees necessary along with a description of the equipment needed and the forecasted duration of the recovery effort. The Mutual Assistance Coordinator will then contact management in other AEP Regions that are nearest to the affected areas and outside line contractors in the area to identify available resources.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 6A
Page 2 of 2

This process continues through the duration of the storm recovery effort and the decision to move or add resources occurs during the Storm Conference Calls that are conducted three times daily.

Coordination efforts between the Company and Volunteers and Governmental Agencies:

The coordination efforts between the Company and volunteers and governmental agencies are fully discussed in the Company's response to Item No. 12.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 6B
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss any lessons learned which would improve the operational coordination between your utility and contractors, volunteers and governmental agencies as it relates to storm restoration, if any.

RESPONSE

In any storm situation, the Company assesses and reviews its storm response procedures and makes improvements as necessary. In this case, the Company found no problems in coordinating between contractors, volunteers and government agencies.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process Restoration Assessment Memo Dated March 12, 2003 Item No. 7A Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss the availability of materials and supplies during the storm restoration.

RESPONSE

AEP personnel had 24-hour access to AEP's Ashland Storeroom to pick up necessary material and supplies. Any additional materials needed were readily obtained from AEP Central Stores in Canton, Ohio. A remote staging area was also set up in Carter County. Pole vendors made five (5) special deliveries to accommodate our restoration needs.

Also, all of our supervisory employees carry an AEP issued credit card so that supplies can be purchased from local vendors as needed. The Ashland Garage personnel were on 16-hour shifts to accommodate any vehicle breakdowns.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 7B
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss any lessons learned which would improve the process involved with the availability of materials and supplies as it relates to storm restoration, if any.

RESPONSE

This part of the process worked extremely well, therefore no opportunities for improvement were noted.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 8A
Page 1 of 2

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss the Company's tree trimming practices and history which will include clear cut, trimming methods, and time between tree trimming cycles.

RESPONSE

Pruning is performed in accordance with accepted arboricultural standards, which provide appropriate clearances, by species.

Danger trees, trees that are considered an imminent threat to the Company's facilities, are removed where possible and the stumps are treated with herbicides where practical.

Brush is "re-cleared" and the stumps are treated with herbicides, or follow-up herbicide applications are made to re-sprouting vegetation in subsequent growing seasons.

The Ashland Service Area (ASA) experienced the most serious and extensive tree related damage to the distribution system during the February 2003 ice storm. The right-of-way maintenance history of the eight circuits in the ASA that sustained the majority of the tree-related damage is as follows:

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process Restoration Assessment Memo Dated March 12, 2003 Item No. 8A Page 2 of 2

STATION	CIRCUIT	MAINTENANCE HISTORY Unit Price Trimmed and
Olive Hill	Globe	Sprayed in 2002
	•	Unit Price Trimmed and
Hayward	Lawton	Sprayed in 1999
•		Unit Price Trimmed and
Hayward	Halderman	Sprayed in 2000 & 2001
, i		Unit Price Trimmed and
Grahn	Distribution	Sprayed in 1998
South Shore	Distribution	Unit Price Trimmed in 2001
South Shore	Siloam	Unit Price Trimmed in 2001
Siloam	Distribution	Unit Price Trimmed in 2001
		Unit Price Trimmed and
Graysbranch	Graysbranch	Sprayed in 1998

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 8B
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss lessons learned pertaining to the Company's tree trimming process as it relates to storm restoration that would improve the storm restoration process, if any.

RESPONSE

During a post storm patrol of AEP/Kentucky areas most severely damaged by the ice storm, it was discovered that the majority of the outages were caused by whole trees uprooted, stem failure or extreme bending due to the ice load. In these areas the Company's facilities were severely damaged. In a catastrophic storm such as the President's Day ice storm, little could have been done to mitigate the tree caused outages and the damages sustained.

A lesson learned was that areas maintained with herbicides, especially those where repeat intermediate applications had been made, allowed easier access and faster restoration because work paths did not have to be cut for conductor recovery, pole replacement and restringing conductor.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 9
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Provide the consumer hours out of service and the utility's expenditures on a consumer hour out of service basis.

RESPONSE

There were a total of 1,521,929 consumer hours of interruption for the period of February 15 through February 28. The Company's total cost incurred for the Presidents Day Storm restoration activities was \$2.77 million for Operation and Maintenance Expense and \$3.83 million of Capital Expense for a total of \$6.6 million. This results in a cost per consumer hour of interruption of \$4.34.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 10
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Provide the Call Center operation's daily performance measures for those centers, which handled Kentucky customer's calls (including contract call centers) during the storm restoration period. The performance measures should include: Average Speed of Answer (ASA), Abandonment Rate (AR) and Call Blockage (CB) information as well as any other measures the Company feels is appropriate.

RESPONSE

Please see the chart below for data regarding the Kentucky Ice Storm. These statistics are from Kentucky callers only.

February 2003	Total Calls	Outsourcer 21 Century		Abandn %	Network Blockage
2/16/03	12,710	7,582	51	5.81%	0.53%
2/17/03	4,803	0	27	2.54%	0.51%
2/18/03	3,068	0	29	2.77%	0.32%
2/19/03	2,787	0	37	3.05%	0.23%
2/20/03	1,817	0	42	4.18%	0.29%
2/21/03	1,533	0	61	7.63%	0.23%
2/22/03	3,484	0	52	4.94%	
2/23/03	2,069	0	56	6.38%	0.28%
Kentucky	32,271	7.582	42.3	4.40%	0.74% 0.44 %

^{*} Outsourced calls are included in Total Calls.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 11
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Provide a discussion of any outage tracking/response software used during the storm restoration efforts and to what extent the software helped in the effort.

RESPONSE

The Roanoke Distribution Dispatch Center (DDC), which serves the AEP Charleston Region including the Kentucky service area, currently uses the PowerOn outage management system, a GE Smallworld product. This system, which was implemented region-wide in April 2002, also includes a web based version called PowerOn Remote Dispatch that is available in all crew headquarters. PowerOn replaced an in-house system that did not include an outage prediction function. PowerOn utilizes the Smallworld GIS which was implemented in 1996. This outage management system is used to predict outages, prioritize the work, assign and track crews, provide feedback and estimated restoration times to the Customer Solution Centers, as well as to handle outage reporting. With the outage prediction engine, PowerOn provided a much-improved early assessment of the scope of the outages than did the previous system, resulting in a much quicker mobilization of additional resources to assist with the restoration. The remote dispatch function also allowed personnel located in the Kentucky service area to assist the DDC with the dispatching of crews by taking advantage of their knowledge of the service area, thereby improving efficiency.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process Restoration Assessment Memo Dated March 12, 2003 Item No. 12 Page 1 of 4

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Provide a discussion pertaining to the communications with customers, media, public officials, governmental agencies and the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

RESPONSE

Attached is a discussion, which pertains to the Company's communication process with customers, media, public officials, governmental agencies and the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

Also attached for illustrative purposes is a copy of the press release.

Storm Recovery Communication Efforts

AEP took a multifaceted approach to communicating with its different audiences. These audiences included customers, media outlets, public officials, governmental agencies and employees. Information about the storm -- such as the number of customers affected, expected duration, areas affected and approximate restoration time -- was gathered and disseminated in a timely manner. The outage information was coordinated from a single source closest to the service restoration effort and disseminated to AEP's various publics. The Company believes this consistent approach lent credibility and reliability to our message and helped keep interested parties informed on the Company's service restoration efforts and progress.

Communications with Customers and Media

Through our Inter-exchange carrier, AT&T, AEP can identify the geographic location of the caller based on his/her Area Code and Exchange (NPA/NXX). This capability allows us to provide recorded messages specific to the geographic location of the caller. For the state of Kentucky, our territory is divided into 6 geographic areas, each with its own unique geographic message. We also have messaging for all other Kentucky callers not defined by our geographic locations.

Through the use of area specific messaging, we can immediately provide customers with outage information specific to their area without having to wait to speak to an agent or interact with our Automated Outage Reporting System -- unless they choose to hold.

When an agent accesses a customer's account information to enter an outage report, information is displayed concerning the cause of outages as well as any estimated restoration times. This information can be as specific as an individual circuit. As field service personnel provide status information to the dispatcher, this updated information is then fed into the outage management system. Agents are automatically updated with this new information any time a new outage report is entered.

In addition, Corporate Communication's representative worked with the district manager, local line crew supervisors, and/or the region distribution dispatch center to collect outage/restoration information. This information was collected during three-times-a-day storm/outage conference calls and through individual conversations with those directing recovery activities. This information was summarized into press releases and faxed to media outlets in the impacted area as well as AEP's customer solution centers. The Company relied upon the media to publish or broadcast updated outage/restoration information periodically and on the solution centers to provide current information to customers when they called the Company. Press releases were issued three times a day in the initial stages of the recovery effort and less frequently as more and more customers were returned to service. Throughout the storm recovery effort, the Corporate Communications employees answered media inquires pertaining to the storm recovery efforts and provided additional information to media outlets that sought it.

Company representatives compiled outage information and entered it into an AEP Internet web site—www.aepcustomer.com/lightsout.asp. This information could be viewed and accessed by any customer with Web access. Outage information posted on the Web was updated several times throughout the day and coincided with the information provided the press and public officials.

Communications with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Information provided to the Commission contained communities without power, the number of customers without power and the anticipated time of restoration. This information was provided to the Commission twice a day. The Company understands that the Commission then forwarded this information to State Emergency Services personnel.

Communication with Elected Officials

Members of the General Assembly whose districts were affected by storm restoration efforts were updated by phone, fax or email at least once (and often twice) a day with storm restoration information so that they could relay accurate outage and service restoration information to their constituents.

Communication with Local Community Leaders

Company employees kept local community leaders informed of the storm restoration efforts. Local community leaders included mayors, judge executives and local law enforcement /emergency services personnel in the affected areas. This effort allowed local community leaders to allocate their resources to aid in the recovery and, in turn, helped reduce the impact of the storm on their local constituencies.

Post Storm Communications Efforts

The employees who coordinated the storm recovery efforts held a meeting with local mayors, judge-executives, emergency services personnel and legislators to discuss AEP's storm response efforts and to receive the local community's input about the Company's restoration activities. The Company also placed an ad in local newspapers thanking customers for their patience and understanding while the Company worked to restore power to its customers.

AS at AEP

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process Restoration Assessment Memo Dated March 12, 2003 Item No. 12 Page 4 of 4

Contact:

Chuck Ferguson
Corporate Communications
American Electric Power
606-437-3756
606-924-1251 pager
chferguson@AEP.com

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

ELECTRICITY OUTAGE UPDATE; 5 AM STATS

PIKEVILLE, Ky., Feb. 17, 2003 – Approximately 15,200 customers of American Electric Power (NYSE:AEP) remained without electricity early Monday in the wake of severe ice storms Saturday night and Sunday in northeast Kentucky and flooding in the southern part of the state. Hardest-hit was the Ashland area where about 14,225 customers were without electric service Monday morning.

It is expected that restoration work will continue until late Wednesday or Thursday because of the widespread damage to electrical equipment. There are numerous broke poles and downed electric wires, and additional crews from other company locations as well as contract crews have joined AEP employees to deal with the work.

"It is extremely important that customers understand the severity of damage and the importance of not touching any electrical equipment that is on the ground. Those lines could still be energized and, therefore, very dangerous to the public. Our customer solutions center can be contacted anytime at 800-572-1113 in Kentucky to report downed wires," explained Everett Phillips, AEP's manager of distribution services in Kentucky.

In the Ashland area, severe damage was reported on AEP's Hayward-Halderman, Wurtland-Route 503, and Olive Hill-Globe circuits. Seventeen hundred customers were without electricity on the Hayward-Halderman circuit; 1,143 in the Wurtland area; and 1,088 in the Olive Hill-Globe areas. Hundreds of other customers were affected in other areas: Belhaven, Indian Run, Westwood, Bellefonte, Hoods Creek, Summit, Russell, Greenup, Lawton, Coalton, Canonsburg Route 3, Hitchins, Willard, Fallsburg, Grahn, 29th Street in Ashland, Grayson-Lansdowne, Grays Branch, Flatwoods – all reporting outage cases in the hundreds. In addition, there were also numerous scattered outages throughout Boyd, Greenup, Carter, and Lawrence counties.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 13
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Provide a discussion pertaining to the communication and coordination of efforts with the Division of Emergency Management and other local emergency management officials.

RESPONSE

Please see the Company's response to Item No. 12.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 14
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Discuss the Company's plans for post restoration cleanup and outside facility inspections.

RESPONSE

The weeks following the storm, AEP inspected each circuit that was affected. Several poles were replaced or repaired, along with several spans of wire re-sagged. Also, additional danger trees were removed and repairs were made to customer's property.

2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process Restoration Assessment Memo Dated March 12, 2003 Item No. 15 Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

What service/support could the Kentucky PSC offer that would be of assistance.

RESPONSE

The Company is unaware of any additional service/support the Kentucky PSC could offer the Company, which would be of assistance during the storm outage.

February 2003 KPSC Ice Storm Process
Restoration Assessment
Memo Dated March 12, 2003
Item No. 16
Page 1 of 1

Kentucky Power d/b/a American Electric Power

REQUEST

Are there any other factors that the Company deems useful in evaluating the outage and storm restoration process that the Commission should consider in its review of the storm restoration assessments? If so, please list the factors.

RESPONSE

No.